|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Site operations – Assignment Rubric 20%** | | | | |
| **Part B** | | | | |
|  | 0 - 39 | 40 - 59 | 60 - 79 | 80 - 100 |
| Organisational chart | The chart appears incomplete. Lacks clear lines of responsibility and communication. | Clearly sets out the hierarchy of the construction site.  Clearly shows lines of responsibility.  Clearly shows lines of communication.  Meets the current construction industry best practice. | All the previous criteria, plus the flowchart is set out using creative graphics ensuring the reader can follow easily. | All the previous criteria, plus the inclusion of stakeholder roles that might not be immediately obvious as having direct influence on the project. |
| Roles and responsibilities | The responsibilities identified were reasonable for the role but would not necessarily be called ‘key’ in industry terms.  The interactions highlighted were briefly described but did not fully convey current industry practice. | The 3 -4 key responsibilities matched the positions chosen in terms of common industry practice on that particular type of project.  The interactions between the roles chosen highlighted the following:   * Communication protocols * Flow of information * Authority to make decisions * Possible areas of conflict or challenge and how these are addressed. | All the previous marking criteria are included.  The explanations demonstrate that the student has investigated each role in depth using interviews with those in the role as well as researched material.  The group has clearly highlighted the interactions and discussed these explaining possible differences between the different contracts and the resulting change in roles. | Similar to the previous marking criteria.  The research highlights possible “hidden” challenges and deeper insights into the impact of the roles on others with the appropriate supporting evidence. |
|  | | | | |
| Ppt presentation | weakly structured with incomplete  content | logically structured and content has briefly addressed the criteria | Well structured with all of the criteria covered in some depth | Thorough and indepth submission meeting all the required criteria |